

Schedule 1 - Schedule of Technical Changes

(not resulting from Representations)

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 1.2.2 – Revise to read:</p> <p>1.2.2 The Local Development Scheme (LDS) is a management plan setting out the Local Development Documents that will be produced and the timescale for producing them. The LDS, which must be reviewed on an annual basis, has been approved by the Secretary of State. It indicates that the Council will be producing a total of six <u>series</u> of Development Plan Documents (DPDs) in two tranches (see Fig 1).</p> <p>First Tranche</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Strategy • Development Land Allocations DPD • Central Tonbridge Area Action Plan <p>Second Tranche</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generic Development Control Policies DPD • Open Space Policies • Environmental Protection Policies • <u>Managing Development and the Environment DPD</u> 	Update to reflect revised Local Development Scheme (2007).

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 1.2.3 – Revise to read:</p> <p>1.2.3 The adopted Local Plan Proposals Map is saved until September 2007 or until superseded by the LDF. <u>The Proposals Map will be republished once all the first tranche DPDs have been adopted.</u> The Plans in the Annexes to this document indicate proposed changes to the adopted Proposals Map. The Proposals Map will be republished, incorporating these changes, once this document has been adopted. It should be noted that throughout the draft Core Strategy there are various references which seek to explain what changes are being proposed to the adopted Local Plan. Once the Core Strategy is adopted these specific references will be deleted.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 1.3.1 – Revise to read:</p> <p>1.3.1 The process for preparing the LDF is set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) Regulations 2004. It involves continuous public and stakeholder engagement with the aim of involving people in decisions as early as possible. The process is summarised in <u>Fig 2 which identifies the current stage..</u></p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 1.3.3 add the following two additional bullet points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission to the Secretary of State (September 2006). The Core Strategy, as revised, was subject to another period of consultation over six weeks commencing 1 September 2006. • Public Examination The Core Strategy was subject to Public Examination during May 2007. The Inspector's Report containing binding recommendations was received in *****. The Core Strategy was adopted by the Council on *****. 	<p>Updating. Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p> <p>Dates subject to confirmation.</p>
Delete paras 1.3.4 and 1.3.5	Updating. Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 2.2.3 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.2.3 The current Regional Spatial Strategy is RPG9, approved by the Secretary of State in 2001 with selected topic-based revisions since that time. RPG9 has a time horizon of 2016. The RSS is under review. A draft of the new RSS, known as the South East Plan, was submitted to the Secretary of State at the end of March 2006 <u>and was subject to an Examination Public from October 2006 to March 2007. Following a further period of consultation there will then be an Examination in Public.</u> The expectation is that the South East Plan will be approved by the Secretary of State <u>early in</u> during 2008.</p>	Updating.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 2.2.7 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.2.7 The Tonbridge and Malling Borough Local Plan was adopted in 1998. It deals with the period from 1996 to 2011 and was prepared in the context of the Kent Structure Plan 1996. The policies of the Local Plan will all be reviewed as part of preparing the LDF. <u>Many</u> <u>Some</u> of the existing policies were intended to endure for the long term and will, if appropriate, be carried forward into the relevant Development Plan Document. The Local Development Scheme indicates in an Annex which <u>policies</u> <u>issues</u> are to be considered in relation to each of the proposed Development Plan Documents. <u>It also indicates which Policies are to be saved and how they will be superseded.</u></p>	<p>Updating in the light of the Saved Policies exercise and submission of the revised Local Development Scheme (2007)</p>
<p>Para 2.3.2 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.3.2 For a start, nearly three quarters of the Borough is covered by the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within Green Belts the expansion of existing settlements, including Tonbridge, is tightly restricted. PPG2 makes it clear that a key feature of Green Belts is their permanence. Green Belt boundaries should be changed only in exceptional circumstances. Neither the RSS nor the Kent and Medway Structure Plan <u>The RSS does not</u> identify any strategic case for a change to Green Belt boundaries. The part of the Borough outside the Green Belt includes the important Strategic Gap separating the Medway Gap from Maidstone and the Medway Towns, extensive parts of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, areas of the “best and most versatile” agricultural land and areas of national and local nature conservation interest. Furthermore, much of the lower lying land in the Medway Valley lies within the floodplain of the River Medway and is subject to varying degrees of flooding constraint.</p>	<p>To be consistent in specifically not referring to the Kent and Medway Structure Plan.</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Delete para 2.4.1 and revise para 2.4.2 to read:</p> <p>2.4.1 The Strategy The Community Strategy for Tonbridge and Malling, 'Serving You Better' includes a ten year vision for the Borough:</p> <p>Renumber the following paragraphs</p>	<p>Unnecessary background information now that the 2006 review of Community Strategy has been approved.</p>
<p>Para 2.5.8 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.5.8 The affordable housing policies in the <u>Core Strategy are based upon a Housing and Market Needs Assessment undertaken in 2006, adopted Local Plan were</u> based upon a <u>Housing Needs Study undertaken in 1995</u>. The draft policies for affordable housing in the Preferred Options Report were based on a review of that Study carried out in 2002. For the purposes of this submission document a further review was undertaken at the end of 2005. the most significant findings of the most recent Study are <u>which are</u> as follows:</p>	<p>Unnecessary background information.</p>
<p>Para 2.5.10 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.5.10 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment is also being <u>has been</u> carried out for the sub-region including the Boroughs of Tonbridge and Malling, Maidstone, Ashford and Tunbridge Wells. In accordance with the requirements of Circular 01/06 (Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites) this information will be <u>has been</u> fed into the South East Plan.</p>	<p>Updating. Study now complete.</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Delete para 2.5.11 and revise para 2.5.12 to read:</p> <p>2.5.11 An Employment Land Review for the Borough was undertaken during 2005 in accordance with ODPM guidance <u>published in December 2004</u>. This reached the following headline conclusions:-</p> <p>Renumber following paragraphs</p>	Clarification
<p>Revise para 2.5.14 to read:</p> <p>2.5.13 Tonbridge, as the Borough's principal town centre, is the main focus for retail development. Retail studies have confirmed that there is significant quantitative potential and qualitative need for additional retail floorspace in Tonbridge, particularly for comparison shopping. The retail hierarchy has also been reviewed throughout the rest of the Borough but there is not considered to be any potential for significant additional floorspace. There may be scope for some limited retail development at Snodland, Martin Square/Larkfield, Borough Green and West Malling subject to site availability, but the main need is to retain what exists.</p>	Unnecessary text.
<p>Para 2.6.4 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.6.4 The Final SA Report was published for public comment alongside the Preferred Options Report. It assessed the potential economic, social and environmental implications of the draft policies and proposals it contained. The assessment found that the Preferred Options performed well in sustainability terms. The conclusion was that the options are generally geared towards accommodating</p>	Revise report title

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>new housing development as sustainably as possible; providing for affordable housing needs (particularly in rural areas); reducing the need to travel; and protecting the Borough's countryside. Their more detailed conclusions and recommendations are set out in the published Final SA Report. The Council's response to their recommendations is set out in the Response to SA Report document. Sustainability Appraisal of Significant Changes Report.</p>	
<p>Para 2.6.5 – Revise to read:</p> <p>2.6.5 A Revised SA Report The Sustainability Appraisal of Significant Changes Report also appraises the changes made by the Council in response to consultation on the Preferred Options Report. It concludes that the changes are either beneficial or neutral in their effect. A further Sustainability Appraisal Report may need to be prepared following adoption to appraise any significant effects of changes made at that stage.</p>	Updating
<p>Para 5.1.6 – Revise to read:</p> <p>5.1.6 The development strategy for the East Bank of the Medway, carried forward from the previous Local Plan, is to plan for development in this area supported by improved access by means of a new bridge across the Medway. The intention is to secure improvements in local access and facilities for the existing communities of the East Bank, whilst avoiding conflicts with areas of nature conservation and landscape importance. To this end, Peters Pit, which now has planning permission, is identified as a strategic development location. In addition, land at Bushey Wood, near Eccles, continues to be safeguarded for potential</p>	Updating and conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>development beyond the end of the plan period. The extent of the safeguarded area has been enlarged to encompass <u>encompasses</u> all of the damaged land in this locality [see Annex G].</p>	
<p>Para 5.1.9 – Revise to read:</p> <p>5.1.9 The affordable housing needs of the Borough will be met by direct provision by Registered Social Landlords and by negotiation on development sites in excess of a prescribed threshold which will be <u>has been</u> set at a lower level in rural areas. The majority of affordable housing need arising in the more remote parts of the Malling rural area will be met at the rural service centre of Borough Green, on a derelict site to the south of the town which will consequently be <u>has consequently been</u> removed from the Green Belt [see Annex E]. Elsewhere in the rural area, affordable housing may be provided on sites released as an exception to policy or on sites specifically allocated for this purpose.</p>	<p>Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p>
<p>Para 5.1.12 – Revise to read:</p> <p>5.1.12 There is Considerable investment in transport infrastructure <u>has</u> already taking taken place, particularly in the north of the Borough. These sorts of improvements are needed in order to avoid congestion and inappropriate use of minor roads and to maintain or improve air quality. Investment in public transport services and other alternative transport</p>	<p>Updating. Leybourne and West Malling Bypass now complete.</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Box following para 5.1.12 - Revise wording of the final paragraph as follows:</p> <p><i>The Strategy is illustrated diagrammatically on the Key Diagram. The precise extent of policy areas will be shown on an Ordnance Survey base on the Proposals Map. Any proposed changes to the Proposals Map are illustrated in the Annexes to this document.</i></p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.2.4 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.4 It is a matter for the LDF to assess whether there are any local circumstances that justify changes to Green Belt boundaries in the Borough. <u>In preparing the Core Strategy the Council has identified only one significant location where it believes exceptional circumstances exist that justify the removal of land from the Green Belt and three others where land can be returned to the Green Belt. In addition, there were some minor refinements to the village confines of Birling, Ryarsh and Platt and the urban area of Tonbridge at the Weald of Kent School, and a proposal to include the school buildings at the Weald of Kent School, Tonbridge within the confines of the urban area, which will have the effect of marginally altering the extent of the Green Belt in these locations [see Annexes D and H].</u> The overall effect of all of these changes is a net gain of Green Belt land amounting to 1.21ha. This is the first planned change to the extent of the Green Belt in Tonbridge and Malling since the early 1990's.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 6.2.6 – Revise fifth bullet point to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ability to meet the majority of affordable housing needs arising from the more remote parts of the Malling rural area in the most sustainable way; 	<p>To take account of the much higher level of need identified in the 2005 Housing and Market Needs Assessment.</p>
<p>Para 6.2.7 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.7 It is therefore proposed to remove This site <ins>has therefore been removed</ins> from the Green Belt [see Annex E] and identify it and identified as a strategic location for development (see Policy CP19). Detailed proposals for the site are <ins>will</ins> be made in the Development Land Allocations DPD. The following policy establishes the extent of the Green Belt.</p> <p>POLICY CP3 etc (unchanged)</p> <p>The location of Isles Quarry West is identified diagrammatically on the Key Diagram. The precise extent of the allocated area and therefore the land to be excluded from the Green Belt will be shown on the Proposals Map pursuant to a policy in the Development Land Allocations DPD [see Annex E].</p>	<p>Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p>
<p>Para 6.2.10 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.10 In the absence of any need for development within the plan period, and In view of the significant opportunities that the regeneration of the town centre is likely to yield, both for commercial and residential development, the Council has reviewed both the need for, and extent of, these safeguarded areas. Its conclusion is that</p>	<p>Update in the light of PPS3 (see Position Statement CS01)</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>land at both locations should continue to be safeguarded but that the reserve site at Lower Haysden Lane, Tonbridge should be reduced in size having regard to both flooding and landscape constraints on the western part of the site. Much of the remaining land is playing fields. If and when development of the reserve site is justified, the aim would be to relocate the playing fields on land to the west which <u>has been</u> returned to the Green Belt.</p> <p>POLICY CP4 etc (unchanged)</p> <p>The location of these sites is identified diagrammatically on the Key Diagram. The extent of area (b) has not changed and is therefore the same as that shown on the Local Plan Proposals Map. The revised extent of the land at Haysden Lane is shown on the map at Annex F. Their precise extent pursuant to this Policy is shown on the Proposals Map.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.2.11 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.11 In addition to these two locations, a number of smaller areas were identified within the Malling rural area as being excluded from the Green Belt in order to meet local needs at the villages concerned. This reflected the advice in the 1992 version of PPG2. One of these, at Plaxtol, has now been developed and another at Wrotham has been partly developed for affordable housing and will now be. <u>These developed areas have now been</u> included within the confines of the villages. In the light of the Guidance in draft PPS3 (Housing), the remaining area at Howlands Allotments, Wrotham and the land at Carpenters Lane, Hadlow should be <u>have</u> been returned to the Green Belt (see Annex D). Any proposals for affordable housing on these or any other sites at Wrotham and Hadlow that</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>could not be met in any other way would be considered on their merits in the light of the exception site policy (Policy CP20).</p>	
<p>Para 6.2.13 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.13 Special circumstances would include a shortfall in strategic housing provision sufficient to justify the release of additional land for residential development in the Bushey Wood Area of Opportunity prior to 2021 (see Policy CP17). The general extent of the Strategic Gap, insofar as it lies within Tonbridge and Malling, is shown on the Key Diagram. <u>Pursuant to this Policy</u> the detailed boundaries will be <ins>are</ins> defined on the Proposals Map and will include the Area of Opportunity at Bushey Wood.</p>	<p>Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p>
<p>Para 6.2.17 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.17 The Kent Downs AONB comprises 27% of the Borough and forms a striking range of hills. This area is an important amenity and recreation area for both local people and visitors, particularly for walking and cycling. It also forms an attractive backdrop to settlements in the Medway Gap. A small part of the High Weald AONB impinges on the southern margins of the Borough with the Bidborough Ridge forming a landscape backdrop to the south of Tonbridge. The general extent of AONBs in the Borough is illustrated on the Key Diagram. <u>Pursuant to this Policy</u> their precise area of cover will be <ins>is</ins> shown on the Proposals Map.</p>	<p>Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 6.2.25 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.25 The general extent of the larger SSSIs in the Borough, some of which are also SACs, is shown diagrammatically on the Key Diagram. These are the sites which, because of their size, are of such strategic significance that they affect the spatial strategy. The precise extent of all SSSIs is shown on the Proposals Map. The above Policy will also apply to any new SSSIs or SACs designated during the lifetime of the LDF. Detailed policies relating to Sites of Nature Conservation Interest, Local Nature Reserves and Ancient Woodlands will be included in the <u>Environmental Protection DPD Managing Development and the Environment DPD</u>. They are all covered by the general terms of Policy CP1.</p>	Updating in the light of the revised Local Development Scheme (2007)
<p>Para 6.2.29 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.2.29 Evolving Government Policy on flood risk is contained in draft PPS25 which indicates that Local Planning Authorities should seek to avoid flood risk to people and property where possible and manage it elsewhere. Flood risk has to be considered alongside other issues such as transport, housing, economic growth, natural resources and particularly achieving sustainable regeneration. A risk-based approach to planning new development is therefore recommended that seeks to avoid inappropriate development in flood risk areas, minimise run-off from new development and manage flood pathways and flood storage.</p>	Updating

Proposed Change	Reason
Policy CP11.2 – revise to read 2. Development that is acceptable (in terms of draft PPS25) or otherwise exceptionally justified within areas at risk of flooding must:	Updating
Revise the last part of para 6.3.1 (following Policy CP12) to read:: The general location of the urban areas is shown on the Key Diagram. The precise extent of the existing and proposed confines of these areas is shown on the Proposals Map. The confines of the urban areas have not changed from those in the adopted Tonbridge and Malling Borough Local Plan apart from: in the vicinity of Hermitage Lane, Aylesford, where the redevelopment of former temporary accommodation has significantly changed the character of the area; a minor change to include the buildings at Weald of Kent School, Tonbridge; and at Helborough, Snodland to follow the line of the rail link to the proposed cement works (see Annex H).	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
Para 6.3.4 – Revise to read: 6.3.4 The location of these rural settlements is shown on the Key Diagram. Their confines are shown on the Proposals Map. The confines are the same as those shown on the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Local Plan with the exception of Borough Green where the allocated site at Isles Quarry West is proposed to be included within the village confines (see Annex E).	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Policy CP14 – Revise final section to read:</p> <p>Note: Dunks Green, Fairseat and Snoll Hatch <u>are</u> continue to be washed over with the Green Belt which means that any development within them must be limited to infill subject to no adverse effect on the character of the settlement.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.3.6 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.6 The location of these rural settlements is shown on the Key Diagram. Their confines are shown on the Proposals Map. The confines are the same as those shown on the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Local Plan apart from minor extensions which are proposed at Birling, Ryarsh Fairseat and Platt. Pinesfield Lane at Trottiscliffe is deleted as an infill settlement. The only other change is the inclusion within the confines of Plaxtol and Wrotham of the now completed affordable housing sites identified in the adopted Local Plan under Policy P2/17. All of these changes are shown under Annex D.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.3.10 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.10 The submission version of the South East Plan requires an average rate of development in Tonbridge and Malling Borough of 425 dwellings per annum for the 2006-21 period; a total of 6,375 dwellings. Draft PPS3 (Housing) and South East Plan Policy H3 specify a target of 60% of new development to be located on</p>	Updating

Proposed Change	Reason
previously developed land and through conversions of existing buildings. In Tonbridge and Malling, over 90% of all housing development will take place on previously developed land; well in excess of the Government's target.	
<p>Para 6.3.18 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.18 <u>Compared to the Local Plan</u>, the safeguarded area has been extended to include the “Island Site” and mineral workings to the west of Bull Lane, Eccles. The benefit of including this area is that it will enable the future of the entire area, including the restoration of the mineral workings and long-term access to the Island Site, to be considered comprehensively, and prevent any prejudicial development in the meantime. It also provides a larger area within which new development can be planned, but this does not necessarily mean that more development will be proposed. The revised extent of the Area of Opportunity is shown on Annex G.</p>	For clarification and conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.3.21 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.21 The following policy continues to safeguard this broad area for future development</p> <p>POLICY CP17 etc (see change recommended In Position Statement CS02)</p> <p>The situation will be reviewed in the context of preparing and revising the Development Land Allocation DPDs. The general location of Bushey Wood is shown diagrammatically on the Key Diagram. The detailed boundary as shown on Annex G will be <u>is</u> identified on the Proposals Map.</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 6.3.22 – Revise first bullet point to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the protection and enhancement of any prevailing nature conservation interests within the Area of Opportunity which are judged to be of sufficient importance to outweigh the need for development at the time such development is required; 	For clarification.
<p>Para 6.3.23 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.23 Draft PPS3 sets out the Government's policy for securing the provision of affordable housing. Policy H4 of the South East Plan requires LDDs to deliver a substantial increase in the amount of affordable housing in the region, with an overall target for the region as a whole of 25% of all new housing to be socially rented and 10% other forms of affordable housing.</p>	Updating
<p>Para 6.3.25 – Revise the end of the paragraph to read:</p> <p>In this context, and to reflect the potential delivery issues, the Council has taken the view that the aim should be to provide for 40% of the net housing on development sites above prescribed thresholds to be affordable. It is important that retirement and sheltered housing also addresses affordable housing needs either on-site or through commuted payments fro use at an alternative location.</p>	Editorial change to reflect the change to policy made between the Preferred Options and Submission draft stages.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>Para 6.3.28 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.28 The following policy applies a different percentage requirement for the urban areas (Tonbridge, Medway Gap and Walderslade) as defined in Policy CP12 and the rural areas because the number of sites within the rural areas above the national threshold set in draft PPS3 of 15 dwellings is likely to be extremely limited. The rural area is the entire Borough outside the defined urban areas, including the rural settlements referred to in Policies CP13 and CP14.</p>	Updating
<p>Para 6.3.34 - Revise the final part of paragraph (following Policy CP19) to read:</p> <p>Detailed requirements in respect of Isles Quarry West will be set out in the Land Allocations DPD. It is identified diagrammatically on the Key Diagram as a strategic development location. The precise boundaries of the allocation will be shown on the Proposals Map [see Annex E].</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
<p>Para 6.3.35 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.35 In terms of the other larger villages in the Green Belt, there is no case for either West Malling or Hildenborough being treated in the same way as Borough Green because of their proximity to the main urban areas. In the case of Wrotham, where it is proposed to return the residual safeguarded area at Howlands Allotments has been returned back to the Green Belt (see Annex D), it would be expected that any local needs would be met by the proposed development at Isles Quarry West. East Peckham, although like Borough Green, relatively remote from the main urban areas, has very restricted opportunities for</p>	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>development because of the floodplain and the need to maintain the separation of East Peckham from Snoll Hatch and Hale Street. It also does not have the brownfield opportunities that exist at Borough Green. At Hadlow, the small site in Carpenter's Lane, which was previously excluded from the Green Belt under <u>Local Plan Policy P2/17</u>, is now proposed to be <ins>has been</ins> returned to the Green Belt.</p>	
<p>Para 6.3.36 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.36 In accordance with draft PPS3 (Housing) the existing <ins>following</ins> Exception Site policy will continue to apply alongside the other affordable housing policies in the rural areas to allow for any specific local needs that have not been met by the general affordable housing provision. One way that such needs may be identified is through a Village Plan prepared by the local community and supported by a detailed local needs survey. Sites released as an exception to policy should be made available exclusively for affordable housing to meet strictly defined local needs in perpetuity. A need for affordable housing may be considered a very special circumstance sufficient to override the normal presumption against development in the Green Belt.</p>	<p>Conversion from submitted to adopted document.</p>
<p>Para 6.3.38 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.38 Local authorities should make appropriate provision for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople having regard to the requirements of the South East Plan. This objective is reflected in Policy CP1.5 of this Core Strategy. A sub-regional Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment, covering the Boroughs of Tonbridge and Malling, Maidstone, Ashford and Tunbridge Wells, was undertaken</p>	<p>Updating.</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>during 2006. The findings of this Assessment will feed <ins>have been fed</ins> into the South East Plan that will eventually allocate specific plot requirements back to each District. Depending on the outcome of this process the Borough Council may need to prepare a DPD dealing specifically with the provision of a site or sites for gypsy and traveller accommodation in accordance with the following policy.</p>	
<p>Policy CP21 – Revise final part to read:</p> <p>There will a presumption against the development of gypsy and traveller accommodation (<u>including sites for travelling showpeople</u>) in the Green Belt unless there are very special circumstances.</p>	For clarification
<p>Para 6.3.40 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.40 Insofar as Travelling Showpeople are concerned, there is an existing site in Constitution Hill, Snodland which will continue to be safeguarded <u>through a Policy in the Development Land Allocations DPD</u>. Studies do not identify a need for an additional site specifically in Tonbridge and Malling Borough. In the view of the Borough Council this is a matter that can only sensibly be addressed at the regional level.</p>	For clarification
<p>Para 6.3.42 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.3.42 However, the development of existing employment sites needs to be carefully managed. Whilst it may be appropriate for certain poorly located employment</p>	Correction

Proposed Change	Reason
<p>sites, or sites that are detrimental to residential amenity, to be redeveloped for other uses, it is essential that the majority of existing employment sites are safeguarded. Policy RE3 RE2 of the South East Plan requires the retention of accessible and well-located employment sites where there is a good prospect of employment use.</p>	
<p>Para 6.4.2 – Revise first bullet point to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ensuring that existing built environments of an historic or high quality will be preserved or enhanced through more detailed policies in the Environmental Protection DPD and Generic Development Control Policies DPD <u>Managing Development and the Environment DPD</u>; 	<p>To take account of the revised Local Development Scheme (2007)</p>
<p>Para 6.4.6 – Revise the start of the paragraph to read:</p> <p>6.4.6 <u>In the case of the natural environment</u>, compensatory measures should be undertaken within or immediately adjoining the development proposal and should relate to the particular biodiversity interest of the development site.....</p>	<p>For clarification.</p>
<p>Para 6.4.15 – Revise to read:</p> <p>6.4.15 The Council's general policies relating to culture and leisure are set out in the Borough Leisure Strategy and Local Cultural Strategy. PPG17 requires the Council to prepare an Open Space Strategy. This will identify the types of open spaces and their distribution and size in relation to locally identified needs and locally set standards. The outcome of this study, insofar as it relates to land use,</p>	<p>To take account of the revised Local Development Scheme (2007)</p>

Proposed Change	Reason
will be incorporated into the <u>Open Space Managing Development and the Environment DPD</u> .	
<p>Para 7.2.3 – Revise to read:</p> <p>7.2.3 Annex I Annex D is a schedule which indicates for each policy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The aims and objectives that the policy is intended to meet; • The relevant delivery agencies; and • The performance indicator. <p>Annex J Annex E defines the performance indicators in more detail, categorising them according to themes and classifying them by type. Each theme includes a number of output, local and contextual indicators. For each indicator the following is identified:</p>	Amend cross references
Annex D – Changes to Village Confines - Delete	Conversion from submitted to adopted document. All changes to confines to be shown on Proposals Map.
Annex E – Isles Quarry West - Delete	Conversion from submitted to adopted document. To be shown on Proposals Map pursuant to Policy H2 in the Development Land Allocations DPD.

Proposed Change	Reason
Annex F – Revised extent of Reserve Site at Haysden Lane – Delete	Conversion from submitted to adopted document. Changes to be shown on Proposals Map.
Annex G – Revised extent of Bushey Wood Area of Opportunity – Delete	Conversion from submitted to adopted document. Revised boundary to be shown on Proposals Map.
Annex H – Changes to urban confines – Delete	Conversion from submitted to adopted document. Revised confines to be shown on Proposals Map.
Annex I– Monitoring and Delivery – Renumber as Annex D	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
Annex J - Performance Indicators – Renumber as Annex E	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.
Annex K – Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations – Renumber Annex F	Conversion from submitted to adopted document.

